

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/468,015	12/20/1999	DIETMAR EGGERT	F71989US	3122		
23720 7	7590 12/24/2002					
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER		
10333 RICHM HOUSTON, T	OND, SUITE 1100 X 77042		HUYNH, K	HUYNH, KIM NGOC		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2836			
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·		09/468,015	EGGERT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kim Huynh	2836	H			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of STA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	iav 2002					
2a) 2		s action is non-final					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $1-20$ is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/468,015

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Waga (US 5,529,831). Waga discloses an integrated circuit having an inductor 23 in a coil shape (Figs. 11) to form a low pass filter as illustrated in Fig. 10 with plurality of ESD devices 26 on a IC die/substrate.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lee (US 5,,831,331) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lee (US 5,,831,331) in view of Waga (US 5,529,831).
- a. Lee discloses an integrated circuit essentially as claimed: a substrate 302, plurality of conductive layers 1-3 shape of a coil turn interleaved with a plurality of insulation layers (col. 2, II. 36-39 and col. 4, II. 5-16), plurality of vias (114, 116) connecting adjacent to the coil turn. Though Lee does not disclose a plurality of ESD devices connecting to the corresponding coil turn, please note it is inherent that capacitors are formed between the conductive layers according to the laws of physics, and thereby forming a plurality of ESD devices. This is in the exact manner as applicant's embodiment and Waga.

Application/Control Number: 09/468,015

Art Unit: 2836

Page 3

b. As for the shape of the coil and the type of metal, these are unremarkable and conventional modifications which are well within the ability of one having the ordinary skill in the art as matter of choice to utilize any type of metal or shape of the inductor loop to form the integrated inductor as long as it provide an inductor structure which can be used in the circuit of Ker to operate with the ESD clamp to provide ESD protection of the circuit in absence of persuasive evidence that a particular shape or type of metal is significant. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). It is further evidence by applicant's own various embodiments as claimed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As for the arguments against the Lee reference, please refers to the discussion 3a above.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ikeda (US 5,431,987) further discloses an IC noise filter made of an inductor coil and insulated layers.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0658.

Kim Huynh

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2836

KH November 21, 2002